

SMETA Corrective Action Plan Report (CAPR) Version 6.1





Audit Content:

- (1) A SMETA audit was conducted which included some or all of Labour Standards, Health & Safety, Environment and Business Ethics. The SMETA Best Practice Version 6.1 (March 2019) was applied. The scope of workers included all types at the site e.g. direct employees, agency workers, workers employed by service providers and workers provided by other contractors. Any deviations from the SMETA Methodology are stated (with reasons for deviation) in the SMETA Declaration.
- (2) The audit scope was against the following reference documents

2-Pillar SMETA Audit

- ETI Base Code
- SMETA Additions
 - Universal rights covering UNGP
 - Management systems and code implementation,
 - Responsible Recruitment
 - Entitlement to Work & Immigration,
 - Sub-Contracting and Home working,

4-Pillar SMETA

- 2-Pillar requirements plus
- Additional Pillar assessment of Environment
- Additional Pillar assessment of Business Ethics
- The Customer's Supplier Code (Appendix 1)
- (3) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (4) Any Non-Compliance against customer code shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

Start Date:End Date:2024-01-172024-01-18

1-18 Sedexg





Sedex Audit Reference: ZAA600035403

Guidance

The Corrective Action Plan Report summarises the site audit findings and a corrective, and preventative action plan that both the auditor and the site manager believe is reasonable to ensure conformity with the ETI Base Code, Local Laws and additional audited requirements. After the initial audit, the form is used to rerecord actions taken and to categorise the status of the non-compliances.

N.B. observations and good practice examples should be pointed out at the closing meeting as well as discussing non-compliances and corrective actions.

To ensure that good practice examples are highlighted to the supplier and to give a more 'balanced' audit a section to record these has been provided on the CAPR document (see following pages) which will remain with the supplier. They will be further confirmed on receipt of the audit report.

Root cause (see column 4)

Root cause refers to the specific procedure or lack of procedure which caused the issue to arise. Before a corrective action can sustainably rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

See SMETA BPG Chapter 7 'Audit Execution' for more explanation of "root cause".

Next Steps:

- 1. The site shall request, via Sedex, that the audit body upload the audit report, non-compliances, observations and good examples. If you have not already received instructions on how to do this then please visit the web site <u>www.sedexglobal.com</u>.
- 2. Sites shall action its non-compliances and document its progress via Sedex.
- 3. Once the site has effectively progressed through its actions then it shall request via Sedex that the audit body verify its actions. Please visit <u>www.sedexglobal.com</u> web site for information on how to do this.
- 4. The audit body shall verify corrective actions taken by the site by either a "Desk-Top" review process via Sedex or by Follow-up Audit (see point 5).
- 5. Some non-compliances that cannot be closed off by "Desk-Top" review may need to be closed off via a "1 Day Follow Up Audit" charged at normal fee rates. If this is the case, then the site will be notified after its submission of documentary evidence relating to that non-compliance. Any follow-up audit must take place within twelve months of the initial audit and the information from the initial audit must be available for sign off of corrective action.
- 6. For changes to wages and hours to be correctly verified it will normally require a follow up site visit. Auditors will generally require to see a minimum of two months wages and hours records, showing new rates in order to confirm changes (note some clients may ask for a longer period, if in doubt please check with the client).

Report reference: ZAA600035403 Start Date:End Date:2024-01-172024-01-18

Sedexglobal.com



		Audit	Details			
Sedex Company Reference: (only available on Sedex System)	ZC1056405		Sedex Site Ro (only available o	eference: n Sedex System)	ZS100	9297
Business name (Company name):	ESTUCHADOS LARH	IAZ SL				
Site name:	ESTUCHADOS LARH	IAZ				
Site address:	PE. Antequera, poli Sub 5, nave 6-7 Antequera, MALAG Antequera 29200 ES	-	Country:		ES	
Site contact and job title:	Javier Ruiz / Genera	l Mana	ager			
Site phone:	952841148		Site e-mail:		javier .com	@estuchadoslarhaz
SMETA Audit Pillars:	Labour Standards		Health and Safety (plus Environment 2-Pillar)	Environ 4-pillar	ment	Business Ethics
Date of Audit:	2024-01-17					

Audit Company Name:	
Bureau Veritas Certification	

		Audit Con	ducted By		
Affiliate Audit Company	\checkmark	Purchaser		Retailer	
Brand owner		NGO		Trade Union	
Multi- stakeholder			Combined Audit	select all that app	ly)





Audit Pa	rameters				
Time in and time out	Day 1		Day 2		
	In	09:00	In	09:00	
	Out	15:00	Out	15:00	
Audit type:	FULL_IN	IITIAL			
Was the audit announced?	SEMI_A	NNOUNC	ED		
Was the Sedex SAQ available for review?	Yes				
Any conflicting information SAQ/Pre-Audit Info to Audit findings?	No				
Who signed and agreed CAPR	Javier R	uiz / Gene	eral Mana	ager	
Is further information available	No				

Start Date:End2024-01-17202

e: End Date: 7 2024-01-18





Audit attendance	Management	Worker Representatives	
	Senior management	Worker Committee representatives	Union representatives
A: Present at the opening meeting?	Yes	No	No
B: Present at the audit?	Yes	No	No
C: Present at the closing meeting?	Yes	No	No
Reason for absence at the opening meeting	No worker committee or u	inions are represented at th	ne facility
Reason for absence during the audit	No worker committee or u	inions are represented at th	ne facility
Reason for absence at the closing meeting	No worker committee or u	inions are represented at th	ne facility

Start Date:End2024-01-17202

End Date: 2024-01-18





Summary of Findings

Issue		a of nformity	Nur	nber of iss	ues	Findings
(please click on the issue title to go direct to the appropriate audit results by clause)	ETI	Local Law	NC	Obs	GE	
<u>3 - Working conditions are safe and hygienic</u>	3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.2	§1 §2 §3 §4	4	1	0	NC - 475398d2-9554-4dad- 8d60-f264af33c5f7 NC - fe03db88-1b7e-4d2c- 9fda-2890475c1ae6 NC - 339faaac-14d9-4209- 8579-0e58681a1811 NC - f7112878-8e90-48d3- 9c0d-4386367b41a5 Obs - 8f92f3b1-8608-4214- 804e-75fa7f288002
<u> 10B4 - Environment 4–pillar</u>	10.B4.6		0	1	0	Obs - 531f2dc1-6b38-4d99- b05d-8a1d58052035

Local Law Issues

Issue	Description
§1	Law 31/1995 Article 16. Occupational risk prevention plan, risk assessment and planning of preventive activity
§2	Law 31/1995 on Occupational Health & Safety, Article 19. Workers are trained on how to operate machines in a safe manner and how to use guards and PPE effectively.
§3	Royal Decree 842/2002 Electrotechnical Regulation for low voltage; Complementary technical instructions ITC-BT-18: 12. Technically competent personnel will check the grounding installation, at least annually, during the time when the ground is driest. To do this, the ground resistance will be measured, and any defects found will be urgently repaired.
§4	Royal Decree 809/2021 Pressure Equipment Regulation; Complementary technical instructions







Sedex Audit Reference: ZAA600035403

Corrective Action Plan - Non Compliances

Audit company: Bureau Veritas Certification Report reference: ZAA600035403

Start Date:Er2024-01-1720

: End Date: 7 2024-01-18





	Non-Co	ompliance		Evidence
[Back to findings	summary]			
	Non-Co	ompliance		
Status	OPEN			
Reference	475398d2-9554-	4dad-8d60-f264a	f33c5f7	
Clause	3 - Working con	ditions are safe a	nd hygienic	
Issue Title	152 - Health and but not suitable	l safety risk asses / sufficient and/c	sment conducted, or documented	
Subcategory	Health & Safety	Management		
New or carried over?	🗹 New	🗆 Ca	rried Over	
Root cause	🗆 Training	☑ Sy	stem	
	🗆 Costs	🗆 La	ck of workers	
	🗆 Other			
Root cause - Other				
Local law issue	Law 31/1995 Art plan, risk assess activity	icle 16. Occupation ment and planning the second seco	onal risk prevention ng of preventive	
ETI code	be provided, bea knowledge of th hazards. Adequa accidents and in associated with, by minimising, s	aring in mind the e industry and of ate steps shall be jury to health ari or occurring in t	any specific taken to prevent sing out of, he course of work, ably practicable,	
Explanation to the non compliance	includes specific the site tour, the warehouse was	assessment on i illumination at t estimated not su	S risk assessment llumination. During he raw material fficient for forklift ial lights were not	
Follow up method	🗆 Follow up au	dit 🗹 De	esktop audit	
Timescale	🗆 Immediate	🗆 30 days	⊡ 60 days	
	□ 90 days	🗆 120 days	🗆 180 days	
	🗆 365 days	🗆 Other		
Actions	The company m risk assessment working hours.	ust show evidend and maintain co	e of illumination rrect lighting during	

Start Date:En2024-01-1720

9



	Non-Compliance	Evidence
[Back to findings	summary]	
	Non-Compliance	
Status	OPEN	
Reference	fe03db88-1b7e-4d2c-9fda-2890475c1ae6	
Clause	3 - Working conditions are safe and hygienic	
Issue Title	163 - No / inadequate health and safety training provided to workers in relation to processes un to cause serious injury or death - systemic	g likely
Subcategory	Health & Safety Training	
New or carried over?	☑ New □ Carried Over	
Root cause	☑ Training	
	□ Costs □ Lack of workers	
	🗆 Other	
Root cause - Other		
Local law issue	Law 31/1995 on Occupational Health & Safety, A 19. Workers are trained on how to operate mac in a safe manner and how to use guards and PF effectively.	chines
ETI code	3.2 - Workers shall receive regular and recorded Health & Safety training, and such training shal repeated for new or reassigned workers.	d I be
Explanation to the non compliance	Workers have not received the required update forklift drivers training. Last training was condu on 07/11/2009.	e of ucted
Follow up method	🗆 Follow up audit 🛛 🗹 Desktop audit	
Timescale	□ Immediate □ 30 days □ 60 days	
	□ 90 days □ 120 days □ 180 days	5
	🗆 365 days 🛛 Other	
Actions	The company must provide an update of the sp training for forklift drivers at least every 5 years	pecific S.

Start Date: 2024-01-17





	Non-C	ompliance		Evidence
[Back to findings	summary]			
	Non-C	ompliance		
Status	OPEN			
Reference	339faaac-14d9	4209-8579-0e586	81a1811	-
Clause	3 - Working cor	nditions are safe a	nd hygienic	-
Issue Title	221 - Inadequa conducted, incl	te electrical safety uding on lighting	inspections	
Subcategory	Electrical risk			
New or carried over?	🗹 New	🗆 Ca	arried Over	
Root cause	🗆 Training	⊡ Sy	vstem	
	🗆 Costs	🗆 La	ick of workers	
	🗆 Other			
Root cause - Other				
Local law issue	for low voltage instructions ITC personnel will o least annually, driest. To do th	; Complementary 2-BT-18: 12. Techn check the groundi	ically competent ng installation, at hen the ground is istance will be	
ETI code	be provided, be knowledge of t hazards. Adequ accidents and i associated with by minimising,	earing in mind the he industry and o late steps shall be njury to health ari	f any specific taken to prevent sing out of, he course of work, nably practicable,	
Explanation to the non compliance	The electrical ir lacks the grour	nspection has bee iding installation o	n conducted but it check.	
Follow up method	🗆 Follow up a	udit 🗹 De	esktop audit	
Timescale	🗆 Immediate	🗆 30 days	⊡ 60 days	
	🗆 90 days	🗆 120 days	🗆 180 days	
	🗆 365 days	🗆 Other		
Actions	The company n inspection ann	nust conduct a co ually.	mplete electrical	

Start Date:E2024-01-172





	Non-Co	ompliance		Evidence
[Back to findings	summary]			
	Non-Co	ompliance		
Status	OPEN			-
Reference	f7112878-8e90-4	18d3-9c0d-43863	67b41a5	
Clause	3 - Working con	ditions are safe a	nd hygienic	
Issue Title	268 - Lapses in i machinery (usec boilers)	nspections / maiı l in production o	ntenance of r otherwise, e.g.	
Subcategory	Machinery			
New or carried over?	🖂 New	🗆 Ca	arried Over	
Root cause	🗆 Training	⊠ Sy	rstem	
	🗆 Costs	🗆 La	ick of workers	
	🗆 Other			
Root cause - Other				
Local law issue	Royal Decree 80 Regulation; Com	9/2021 Pressure plementary tech	Equipment nical instructions	
ETI code	be provided, bea knowledge of th hazards. Adequa accidents and in associated with, by minimising, s	aring in mind the e industry and o ate steps shall be jury to health ari or occurring in t	f any specific taken to prevent sing out of, he course of work, nably practicable,	
Explanation to the non compliance	but lacking the r also another cor	equired periodic npressor which i by the company	or was found in use inspection. There is s evidently out of , but has not been	5
Follow up method	🗆 Follow up au	dit 🖂 De	esktop audit	
Timescale	🗆 Immediate	□ 30 days	⊡ 60 days	
	□ 90 days	🗆 120 days	🗆 180 days	
	□ 365 days	□ Other		
Actions	Compressor in u inspection is car out of use: seal a		t until the orities; Compressor	

Start Date: 2024-01-17





Sedex Audit Reference: ZAA600035403

Audit company: Bureau Veritas Certification Report reference: ZAA600035403

Start Date:E2024-01-1720

: End Date: 7 2024-01-18

Sedexglobal.com



Corrective Action Plan - Observations

	Observ	vation	Evidence
[Back to findings	summary]		
	Observ	vation	
Status	OPEN		
Reference	531f2dc1-6b38-4d	99-b05d-8a1d58052035	
Clause	10B4 - Environmer	nt 4–pillar	
Issue Title	622 - No waste inv	entory or tracking system	
Subcategory	Waste Manageme	nt	
New or carried over?	🗹 New	Carried Over	
Root cause	🗆 Training	☑ System	
	🗆 Costs	Lack of workers	
	🗆 Other		
Root cause - Other			
ETI code	including continuc	should measure its impacts, ous recording and regular reviews ge of natural resources e.g. use (see 4–pillar audit report and etails).	
Explanation to the observation	Although waste is has not been cond 2023.	tracked, an inventory of quantities lucted for the years 2022 and	
Actions	The company is play keep records of wa	anning to extend the system to aste quantities.	

Report reference: ZAA600035403 Start Date:E2024-01-172





	Evidence		
[Back to findings	summary]		
	Observ	vation	
Status	OPEN		
Reference	8f92f3b1-8608-421		
Clause	3 - Working condit		
Issue Title	295 - Workplace tr etc. is unsafe or in		
Subcategory	Transport		
New or carried over?	🗹 New	Carried Over	
Root cause	🗆 Training	☑ System	
	🗆 Costs	Lack of workers	
	🗆 Other		
Root cause - Other			
ETI code	3.1 - A safe and hy be provided, beari knowledge of the hazards. Adequate accidents and inju associated with, of by minimising, so the causes of haza environment.		
Explanation to the observation	it was observed th	ur, at the raw material warehouse, hat the pallet on a shelf was not ed as it had been pushed by the djacent pallet.	
Actions	During the audit, t putting the pallet evidence of a biwe been showed as w		

Start Date:Er2024-01-1720





SMETA Declaration

I declare that the audit underpinning the following report was conducted in accordance with SMETA Best Practice Guidance and SMETA Measurement Criteria.

- (1) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (2) Any Non-Compliance against customer code alone shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

Auditor Team					
Lead Auditor:	Esperanza Mora	APSCA Number:	21704240		
Additional Auditors:					
Date of declaration:	2024-01-18				

Note: The focus of this ethical audit is on the ETI Base Code and local law. The additional elements will not be audited in such depth or scope, but the audit process will still highlight any specific issues.

Site Representation			
Full Name:	Javier Ruiz		
Title:	General Manager		
Date of declaration:	2024-01-18		
Comments:			

Any exceptions to this must be recorded here (e.g. different sample size): Sampled wage records from the past 5 months were provided for review (5 months only since the operation for digital thermometer just started last Sep 2020). The audit took 2.0 man-days (9AM-6PM per day). Audit time was extended until 8PM due to the extent of documentation; this was agreed

upon with the factory representatives

Report reference: ZAA600035403

Start Date: 2024-01-17

End Date: 2024-01-18



Guidance on Root Cause

Explanation of the Root Cause Column

If a non-compliance is to be rectified by a corrective action which will also prevent the noncompliance re-occurring, it is necessary to consider whether a system change is required.

Understanding the root cause of the non-compliance is essential if a site is to prevent the issue reoccurring.

The root cause refers to the specific activity/ procedure or lack of activity /procedure which caused the non-compliance to arise. Before a corrective action can rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

Since this is a new addition, it is not a mandatory requirement to complete this column at this time. We hope to encourage auditors and sites to think about Root Causes and where they are able to agree, this column may be used to describe their discussion.

Some examples of finding a "root cause"

Example 1

Where excessive hours have been noted the real reason for these needs to be understood, whether due to production planning, bottle necks in the operation, insufficient training of operators, delays in receiving trims, etc.

Example 2

A non-compliance may be found where workers are not using PPE that has been provided to them. This could be the result of insufficient training for workers to understand the need for its use; a lack of follow-up by supervisors aligned to a proper set of factory rules or the fact that workers feel their productivity (and thus potential earnings) is affected by use of items such as metal gloves.

Example 3

A site uses fines to control unacceptable behaviour of workers.

International standards (and often local laws) may require that workers should not be fined for disciplinary reasons.

It may be difficult to stop fines immediately as the site rules may have been in place for some time, but to prevent the non-compliance re- occurring it will be necessary to make a system change.

The symptom is fines, but the root cause is a management system which may break the law. To prevent the problem re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change for example the site could consider a system which rewards for good behaviour

Only by understanding the underlying cause can effective corrective actions be taken to ensure continuous compliance.

The site is encouraged to complete this section so as to indicate their understanding of the issues raised and the actions to be taken.







For more information visit: <u>Sedexglobal.com</u>

Your feedback on your experience of the SMETA audit you have observed is extremely valuable. It will help to make improvements to future versions.

You can leave feedback by following the appropriate link to our questionnaire:

Click here for Buyer (A) & Buyer/Supplier (A/B) members: http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=riPsbE0PQ52ehCo3lnq5Iw_3d_3d

Click here for Supplier (B) members: http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=d3vYsCe48fre69DRgIY_2brg_3d_3d

> Click here for Auditors: https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/BRTVCKP

Report reference: ZAA600035403 Start Date:Er2024-01-1720

End Date: 2024-01-18

